

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 23

Thomas Headley Gardere, Wynne, & SEWELL, L.L.P. 1000 Louisiana Suite 3400 Houson TX 77002-5007

**COPY MAILED** 

MAR 2 6 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Stephen J. Elledge and Yolanda Sanchez Application No. 09/488,364 Filed: January 12, 2000 Attorney Docket No. 120541-1003 Title: MAMMALIAN CHECKPOINT GENES AND PROTEINS

**DECISION ON PETITION** 

This is a decision on the petition filed on December 12, 2001, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)<sup>1</sup>, to revive the above-identified application.

## The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)."

The above-identified application matured into patent number 6,307,015 on October 23, 2001. The petitioner states that "the above-identified application became abandoned for failure to prosecute because Applicant's representative unintentionally failed to file a reply to the Notice of Allowance", but such is not the case. On May 29, 2001, a Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due was mailed to petitioner, setting a three-month period for reply. On August 23, 2001, the issue fee was received in a timely manner. Hence, this application was never abandoned.

<sup>1</sup> A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;

<sup>(2)</sup> The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;

<sup>(4)</sup> Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

<sup>2</sup> Petition, page 1.

Petitioner further states that a divisional application was filed (application number 10/020,038, filed on December 12, 2001), claiming priority to 09/488,364. The instant petition was filed so as to establish continuity between the two applications. However, as application number 10/020,038 was filed after 09/488,364 had already matured into patent number 6,307,015, continuity cannot be established.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Commissioner for Patents

Box DAC

Washington, DC 20231

By FAX:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23

Arlington, VA 22202

Receipt of the associate power of attorney and change of address is acknowledged.

Telephone inquiries specific to this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Paul Shanoski at (703) 305-0011.

Paul Shanoski

Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy